

**RECEIVED**

**By Kentucky PSC at 1:11 pm, Feb 25, 2019**

**From:** [Mary R Dooley](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Sunday, February 24, 2019 5:30:58 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Mary R Dooley  
4619 S 2nd Street  
Louisville, KY 40214

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Stacey Dillingham](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Sunday, February 24, 2019 2:48:44 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Stacey Dillingham  
14001 Old Station Rd Apt 205  
Louisville, KY 40245

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Catherine Ogburn](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 9:41:03 AM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Catherine Ogburn  
2301 Millers Ln  
Louisville, KY 40216  
[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Dave Simon at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Kelly Cooper](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 4:45:52 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Kelly Cooper  
6603 Six Mile Ln  
Louisville, KY 40218

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Magean Dooley](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 3:01:31 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Magean Dooley  
4919 S 5th St  
Louisville, KY 40214

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Janet Gray](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 8:50:38 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Janet Gray  
414 Sam Drive  
Louisville, KY 40214

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Dave Simon at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Samantha Kinman](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 4:36:57 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Samantha Kinman  
2503 Thomas Ave.  
Louisville, KY 40216

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Dave Simon at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Jacqueline Marshall](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 3:13:03 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Marshall  
4106 La Salle Avenue  
Louisville, KY 40215

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Dave Simon at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.



**From:** [SHARIEM WICKS](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 2:48:24 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

SHARIEM WICKS  
2005 TERRIL LN  
LOUISVILLE, KY 40218

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Dave Simon at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Julia Grider \[REDACTED\]](#) [.Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 12:50:49 PM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Julia Grider  
2910 Yorkshire Blvd  
Louisville , KY 40220

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Dave Simon at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**From:** [Victoria Elliott](#) [REDACTED] [Sent You a Personal Message](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Dockets No. 2018-00294 and 2018-00295, Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric Rate Cases  
**Date:** Monday, February 25, 2019 7:03:45 AM

---

Dear Kentucky Public Service Commission,

Please reject Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities proposed rate increases.

This is an unjust, unfair, and unreasonable proposal that is contrary to Kentucky law. I, the undersigned, call on the Public Service Commission to deny this rate change!

These proposals will hurt many customers, especially low- and fixed-income people, apartment dwellers and people who attempt to conserve energy. They will limit our ability to save money by reducing our energy use. And they will discourage many people from installing rooftop solar or energy efficient systems, steps which are needed to lower harmful pollution and protect our health and climate. These rates are unfair, unjust and a step in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Victoria Elliott  
332 N. 20th Street  
Louisville , KY 40203

[REDACTED]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for more information.

**RECEIVED**

**By Kentucky PSC at 1:05 pm, Feb 25, 2019**

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Tamie Young](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Saturday, February 23, 2019 12:48:18 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Tamie Young  
483 Gaffney Ct.  
Versailles, KY 40383-1579

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 2:07:15 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Jessie Rathburn  
2440 Holy Cross Rd.  
Loretto, KY 40037-7220

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 11:57:52 AM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.



The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Vicki Hale  
801 Lynn Rd  
Lexington, KY 40504-2323

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 11:18:47 AM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Robert Toon  
135 N Maysville St  
Mount Sterling, KY 40353-1153

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jack Ball](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 9:50:11 AM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Jack Ball  
PO Box 572  
Wallins Creek, KY 40873-0572

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 10:28:54 AM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a KU "customer," but only because I have no other choice. I'm a Kentuckian. And I am an energy efficiency professional. I have met hundreds of Kentuckians that are struggling to pay high energy bills, in a time in which nearly every utility in the state is pulling its energy efficiency programs.

As a "customer" of Kentucky Utilities, I know that I am getting a raw deal. I know that there are municipalities and rural electric cooperatives across the state that are delivering energy to their MEMBER-OWNERS AND CONSTITUENTS (not customers) - at rates that are often less than the IOU's are charging. KU has a much higher density of customers, and the only reason they cost so much is because they are making tens of millions of dollars in guaranteed profits. The fact that they are asking for these rate increases while trying to restrict our access to efficiency and renewables is absolutely unconscionable. It's time for the PSC to stand up for average Kentuckians, NOT utility investors.

Like most Kentuckians, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction, and Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Chris Woolery  
1079 Meridian Ct  
Lexington, KY 40504-2032

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [stefani.wright](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Friday, February 22, 2019 9:21:41 AM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.



The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

stefani wright  
200 Chippendale Cir  
Lexington, KY 40517-4400

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 10:25:40 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Sheila Foy  
409 E High St  
Lexington, KY 40507-1931

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 9:04:47 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Giurgevich  
1482 Tates Creek Rd  
Lexington, KY 40502-2228

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jeff Gearding](#)  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 7:46:31 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Jeff Gearding  
2380 Lake Park Rd Apt 807  
Lexington, KY 40502-6600

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 6:50:51 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.



The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Gloria Stepp  
586 Old River Rd  
Salt Lick, KY 40371-8737

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 6:35:58 PM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to conceal information from customers.

At the same time that LG&E and KU want to raise our monthly base rate, they also propose to make that charge invisible to us. Instead, our bills will show the fixed monthly rate as a daily, rather than a monthly, charge. Do the utilities think customers will be happier to see a fixed charge of \$0.53/day than \$16.43/month? And does the KY Public Service Commission think that change is in the public's interest?

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Sarah Bright  
3456 Lexington Rd  
Danville, KY 40422-9082

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PSC - Public Information Officer](#)  
**Subject:** 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E)  
**Date:** Monday, February 25, 2019 10:56:18 AM

---

Dear members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission:

I am writing with great concern about the proposed rate increase sought by Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities: Case numbers 2018-00294 (KU) and 2018-00295 (LG&E).

I am a utility customer. I'm a Kentuckian. And like most people, I want more affordable and cleaner energy options. This case moves us in the wrong direction. Kentuckians deserve so much better. I call on the KY Public Service Commission to allow full and fair public participation in this case, and reject this rigged deal.

The KY Public Service Commission must allow public interest groups to intervene in this case. Stop acting like a bodyguard for the monopoly utilities, and start acting like a fair referee. It is shameful that the KY Public Service Commission has gone to court to block intervention in by groups representing low-income Kentuckians. The utilities didn't ask for them to be excluded, and the KY Attorney General says their input is necessary and relevant to the case. Open your doors and allow the public interest groups to intervene.

The KY Public Service Commission should reject this rigged deal. LG&E and KU's proposed rate increase is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. For example:

The LG&E and KU rate hurts all customers.

Under this plan, the average LG&E residential electric customer will pay \$7.53 more per month, a 7.5% increase. The average LG&E natural gas customer will see their bill rise by \$7.14 dollars a month, a 12.2% increase. And the average KU customer's monthly increase under this proposal will be \$13.47, a whopping 11.7% increase.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged against low-income folks, energy efficiency, & rooftop solar.

The utilities want to jack up the monthly service fee all customers pay for service, rather than further increasing the rate we pay for energy. For example: KU and LG&E want to raise fixed monthly rates for electricity from \$12.25 per month to \$16.43 in most months, a 34% increase. And LG&E's natural gas customers will see their fixed monthly fee jump from \$16.35 to \$20.15 in most months, a 23% increase.

This approach makes it very difficult for low-income customers to manage their bills by conserving energy. No matter how little energy we use, we'll still owe the monopoly utility an increasingly large amount just for basic service.

Shifting more of the monthly bill to the fixed monthly service fee is also a deliberate strategy by utilities to discourage customers from conserving energy or investing in rooftop solar. If Kentuckians are forced to pay large, fixed monthly fees no matter how much energy we use, we are less likely to make investments or behavioral changes to reduce our energy use.

The LG&E and KU plan is rigged to harm KY's homegrown solar industry.

This proposal from LG&E and KU also contains a poison pill. The utilities are proposing a deceptively simple change that seems designed as a back-door effort to kill solar net-metering, a state policy that makes space for Kentucky's tiny but growing homegrown solar industry.

The utilities propose to divide their energy charge - the rate customers are charged for every kilowatt-hour consumed - into two parts: a variable charge and an infrastructure charge. This won't affect the overall energy rate or the amount customers owe. It simply takes a single rate and divides it into two parts, one smaller (the variable rate) and one larger (the infrastructure rate), for "informational purposes."

It's not clear what informational purposes the utilities have in mind, since they don't plan to reveal this change to

customers on their bills. The sub-divided rates, if approved, will be found only in the fine print of KY PSC documents.

Sadly, this appears to be a two-step process to end solar net-metering as we know it. In the first step, LG&E and KU are asking the KY PSC to put a stamp of approval on their questionable claim (made without evidence or an open process) that the energy charge is best thought of in two pieces, one smaller and one larger. In the second step, they are likely to bring this KY PSC approved "information" to lawmakers, as they continue to argue (based on widely disputed assumptions about the value of rooftop solar) that solar customers should get credited for only the smaller portion.

If the Kentucky Public Service Commission wants to open an administrative case to determine a fair "Value of Solar," you should do so. That would allow for a broad range of stakeholders to intervene, a full and fair hearing of evidence, and a careful consideration of the costs and benefits of distributed renewable generation to the grid and non-participating customers. But you should not allow yourselves or this rate case to be used by monopoly utilities to justify their claims without consideration of evidence or an open process.

In closing, I urge the Kentucky Public Service Commission to open up your public process and reject this rigged deal. It is bad for consumers, harmful to people on low and fixed incomes, and damaging to energy efficiency and rooftop solar. We deserve far better.

Sincerely,

Leonard Demoranville  
2965 Waterworks Rd  
Danville, KY 40422-9363

\*Allyson K Sturgeon  
Senior Corporate Counsel  
Kentucky Utilities Company  
220 W. Main Street  
P. O. Box 32010  
Louisville, KY 40202

\*G. Houston Parrish  
Labor Law Attorney  
Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, B  
50 3rd Avenue  
Fort Knox, KENTUCKY 40121

\*Kent Chandler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Iris G Skidmore  
Bates & Skidmore  
415 W. Main Street  
Suite 2  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601

\*Hannah Wigger  
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP  
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1  
Washington, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20006

\*Larry Cook  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*William H May, III  
Hurt, Deckard & May  
The Equus Building  
127 West Main Street  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

\*Jody Kyler Cohn  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Laurence J Zielke  
Zielke Law Firm PLLC  
1250 Meidinger Tower  
462 South Fourth Avenue  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Barry Alan Naum  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101  
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

\*Janice Theriot  
Zielke Law Firm PLLC  
1250 Meidinger Tower  
462 South Fourth Avenue  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Matthew Miller  
Sierra Club  
50 F Street, NW, Eighth Floor  
Washington, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20001

\*Carrie M Harris  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101  
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

\*Justin M. McNeil  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Mark E Heath  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
300 Kanawha Blvd, East  
Charleston, WEST VIRGINIA 25301

\*Don C A Parker  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101  
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

\*Honorable Kurt J Boehm  
Attorney at Law  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Honorable Michael L Kurtz  
Attorney at Law  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Emily W Medlyn  
General Attorney  
U.S. Army Legal Services Agency Regul  
9275 Gunston Road  
Fort Belvoir, VIRGINIA 22060

\*Honorable Kendrick R Riggs  
Attorney at Law  
Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC  
2000 PNC Plaza  
500 W Jefferson Street  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202-2828

\*Honorable Matthew R Malone  
Attorney at Law  
Hurt, Deckard & May  
The Equus Building  
127 West Main Street  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

\*Paul Werner  
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP  
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1  
Washington, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20006

\*M. Todd Osterloh  
Sturgill, Turner, Barker & Moloney, PLLC  
333 West Vine Street  
Suite 1400  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

\*Rebecca W Goodman  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Honorable Robert C Moore  
Attorney At Law  
Stites & Harbison  
421 West Main Street  
P. O. Box 634  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

\*Robert M Conroy  
Director, Rates  
Kentucky Utilities Company  
220 W. Main Street  
P. O. Box 32010  
Louisville, KY 40202

\*Kentucky Utilities Company  
220 W. Main Street  
P. O. Box 32010  
Louisville, KY 40232-2010

\*Terrance A Spann  
U.S. Army Legal Services Agency  
9275 Gunston Road  
ATTN: JALS-RL/IP  
Fort Belvoir, VIRGINIA 22060-554

\*Tony Mendoza  
Staff Attorney  
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program  
2101 Webster Street  
Suite 1300  
Oakland, CALIFORNIA 94612